EXHIBIT 1

HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - OUTSIDE ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY

1	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
2	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
3	SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION		
4			
5			
6	WAYMO LLC,		
7	Plaintiff,)		
8	vs.) Case No.		
9	UBER TECHNOLOGIES, INC.;) 17-cv-00939-WHA		
10	OTTOMOTTO, LLC; OTTO TRUCKING LLC,)		
11	Defendants.)		
)		
12			
13	HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - OUTSIDE ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY		
14			
15	VIDEOTAPED DEPOSITION OF ANGELA L. PADILLA, ESQ.		
16	San Francisco, California		
17	Monday, October, 2017		
18	Volume I		
19			
20	Reported by:		
21	MARY J. GOFF		
22	CSR No. 13427		
23	JOB No. 2716665		
24			
25	PAGES 1-111		
	Page 1		

HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - OUTSIDE ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY

1	Q Yeah.	10:36:19
2	A I'm sure that they would have done some	10:36:21
3	forensic review of all the electronic information	10:36:23
4	available for forensic collection, forensic review	10:36:29
5	in conjunction with our outside lawyers.	10:36:34
6	Q Okay. Do you have any personal knowledge	10:36:36
7	of what that entity did?	10:36:37
8	A I don't, because that work was delegated	10:36:40
9	and handled by other other parts of the legal	10:36:42
10	department.	10:36:46
11	Q Okay. Other than retaining this third	10:36:46
12	party, what else was done to by Uber to look for	10:37:12
13	and obtain the materials called for by paragraph 4	10:37:21
14	in the order?	10:37:25
15	MR. GONZALEZ: And I would instruct you	10:37:29
16	here I would to be careful not to disclose	10:37:30
17	privileged information.	10:37:33
18	A Without getting into direct conversations,	10:37:38
19	et cetera, I know that we tasked a large number of	10:37:41
20	outside counsel to help, tasked in-house counsel to	10:37:47
21	help, tasked in-house security teams to help, tasked	10:37:51
22	others in the company who had engineering knowledge	10:37:58
23	and the ability to to search.	10:38:02
24	I'm sure we did a bunch of other things	10:38:10
25	too, but those are those are the items that come	10:38:13
		Page 46

HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - OUTSIDE ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY

1	to mind right now.	10:38:15
2	Q (BY MR. PERLSON) Okay.	10:38:16
3	A And speak to the individuals named here,	10:38:17
4	Anthony, Sameer, Radu.	10:38:19
5	The idea was to to leave no stone	10:38:24
6	unturned. The idea was scorched-earth approach.	10:38:26
7	Q But you didn't search everywhere Uber	10:38:34
8	didn't search everywhere within let me start over	10:38:37
9	again.	10:38:41
10	Uber did not search every electronic	10:38:42
11	source of data within Uber in response to the	10:38:44
12	court's March 16 order? Would you agree with that?	10:38:53
13	A I don't have a basis to agree or not	10:38:59
14	agree. I'm sure that what we searched was based on	10:39:01
15	identifying the most likely places where any of this	10:39:06
16	information would would be found, if it was there	10:39:13
17	at all.	10:39:16
18	Q Okay. What areas were searched	10:39:17
19	specifically?	10:39:19
20	A For that, you would have to ask others on	10:39:20
21	the team or outside counsel and the vendor that	10:39:22
22	helped us.	10:39:26
23	Q Okay. You don't you don't know that	10:39:28
24	you don't have any personal knowledge of that	10:39:30
25	yourself?	10:39:32
		Page 47